THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 STEVE TEIXEIRA, 9 Case No.: 2:24-CV-01032-RAJ Plaintiff, SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION v. 10 OF MARICARMEN C. PEREZ-MOZILLA CORPORATION a.k.a. M.F. VARGAS IN SUPPORT OF LCR 37 11 Technologies, a California corporation; **SUBMISSION** MOZILLA FOUNDATION, a California 12 public benefit corporation; LAURA CHAMBERS and her marital community; 13 WINIFRED MITCHELL BAKER and her marital community, and DANI CHEHAK and 14 her marital community, 15 Defendants. 16 I, Maricarmen C. Perez-Vargas, am over the age of 18, have personal knowledge of all 17 the facts stated herein and declare as follows: 18 The following paragraphs if this Supplemental Declaration were added in Reply. 1. 19 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is email correspondence from April 3, 2025, in 20 which counsel for Plaintiff e-mailed counsel for Mozilla Corporation ("Mozilla") requesting 21 their anticipated completion date for Mozilla's production. Mozilla's counsel has not responded. 22 23 24 STOKES LAWRENCE, P.S. SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF MARICARMEN C. 1420 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 3000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2393

PEREZ-VARGAS IN SUPPORT OF LCR 37 SUBMISSION - 1

60606-003

(206) 626-6000

- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 13** is a true and correct copy of an e-mail Plaintiff produced in discovery in this matter at Bates Number TEIXEIRA 000008. The e-mail is from Karim Lakhani, using his personal e-mail address, to Plaintiff and others.
- 4. I am an attorney at Stokes Lawrence, P.S. and am counsel of record for Plaintiff Steve Teixeira. I submit information about fees and expenses in connection with this Motion in compliance with LCR 37(a)(2)(F) to substantiate the fees incurred by my client in obtaining lawful discovery from Mozilla Corporation, Laura Chambers, Dani Chehak, and Mitchell Baker ("Individual Defendants") in this matter.
- 13. My colleagues Mathew Harrington, Amy Alexander, and I are counsel of record and are the attorneys principally responsible for the fees incurred obtaining discovery. Our work on this matter was consistent with our role as counsel in a complex, multi-million dollar employment suit, and we endeavored to ensure compliance with the rules, practices, and standard of legal representation prevailing in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington.
- 14. I graduated Order of the Coif from the University of Washington School of Law in 2018. I served as an extern for Judge James L. Robart of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington in winter 2017. I worked as an associate attorney with the Labor & Employment practice at K&L Gates before beginning work at Stokes Lawrence in 2021. My practice focuses on representing employer and employee clients with advice on federal and Washington employment law issues including discrimination, wrongful termination, noncompetition and non-solicitation agreements, leave, and accommodations. I have been an attorney licensed to practice law in Washington State since 2018. My hourly rate for Seattle-

23

21

22

60606-003

based matters in 2024 was \$475 and in 2025 is \$525. In 2025, I have billed \$450 per hour on the above captioned matter.

15. Amy Alexander is a shareholder at Stokes Lawrence. She graduated from the

- University of Washington School of Law in 2011 and has been licensed to practice law in Washington for nearly 14 years. Following law school, she was an AmeriCorps Equal Justice Works Legal Fellow, and later staff attorney at Northwest Justice Project's Medical-Legal Partnership. She then clerked for the Honorable Michael S. Spearman at the Washington State Court of Appeals, Division One. She has practiced law at Stokes Lawrence since 2019, and focuses exclusively on employment litigation, counseling, and investigations, with an emphasis on drafting, counseling, and litigating restrictive covenants and trade secret matters on behalf of both individuals and employers. She was selected by my peers as a "Best Lawyer One to Watch" for Labor and Employment Law in both 2023 and 2024, and selected as a Super Lawyer in 2024. Her hourly rate for Seattle-based matters in 2024 was \$525, and in 2025 is \$575. She has billed on the above-captioned matter at an hourly rate of \$475 in 2025.
- 16. Mathew Harrington graduated from the University of Chicago Law School in 2002 and has been licensed to practice law in Washington for 22 years. His practice focuses on civil commercial claims, with a particular emphasis on claims involving employment law, trade secret misappropriation, noncompete agreements, and the Washington Consumer Protection Act. He has represented clients before various state and federal trial and appellate courts, including as counsel of record in a victory before the U.S. Supreme Court. His hourly rate for Seattle-based matters in 2024 was \$700, and in 2025 is \$750. In 2025, he has billed to the above captioned matter at a rate of \$750 per hour.
  - 17. Sarah Armon is a senior litigation paralegal at Stokes Lawrence. She joined

- 18. It is my opinion that the rates billed by attorneys in this matter are commensurate with rates of attorneys with similar experience who represent clients in complex employment-related lawsuits such as this one, involving the tech industry and involving significant damages. It is my opinion that the rates billed by Ms. Armon are commensurate with rates of paralegal professionals of similar experience. This judgment is informed by my experience litigating fee petitions in the state and federal courts within this market, and also informed by the opinion of Mr. Harrington, who until several years ago served on our firm's management committee and was involved in setting rates for our firm of 60 lawyers.
- 19. The table attached hereto as **Exhibit 14** summarizes and describes certain time Stokes Lawrence, P.S. expended on Mr. Teixeira's behalf (subject to exclusions described below) and billed to him for efforts to obtain lawful discovery that is the subject of Plaintiff's first requests for discovery to Defendants Mozilla Corporation, Mitchell Baker, Laura Chambers, and Dani Chehak, and which are the subject of this motion to compel. This table was created using information from a report generated by Stokes Lawrence's accounting system that summarizes the compensable time spent by the firm for services performed on Plaintiff's behalf in connection with this lawsuit. The narratives in Exhibit 13 were drafted at or near the time the work was done, have been reviewed for accuracy by me, and, where appropriate, have been

24

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	cross-referenced against work product and notes in our files. I excluded time entries in
2	compliance with the Western District of Washington's lodestar method of calculating a
3	reasonable fee award. I endeavored to err on the conservative side when deciding whether to
4	include a particular time entry in this compilation of fees. In order to be conservative, and to
5	exclude any time unrelated to this Motion and the discovery requests at issue herein, I have made
6	deductions for entries that included work on unrelated issues, in addition to the removal of
7	miscellaneous or unrelated time entries.
8	20. The total amount of fees being requested in connection with the Motion to
9	Compel is \$14,262.50.
10	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United State of America that the
11	foregoing is true and correct
12	DATED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2025.
13	STOKES LAWRENCE, P.S.
14	By: /s/Maricarmen Perez-Vargas
15	Maricarmen Perez-Vargas
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	